

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/811,154 | 03/29/2004 | Gabriel Petta | 3445-151 | 1119 | | |
| 1059 AFRICA AND PARR LLP/S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.L. 40 KING STREET WEST BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2 CANADA | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | STRIMBU, | STRIMBU, GREGORY J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 3634 | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 09/03/2009 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/811,154 | PETTA ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Gregory J. Strimbu | 3634 | |

| | Gregory J. Strimbu | 3634 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appl for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In low, thowever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: flow 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW. | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL. | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp. | lianas with 27 CEB 44 27 must be 4 | Eladithin two manths | of the date of | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | .,, | | | | | |
| | 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling th | | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | planation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. | vercome all rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| | /Gregory J. Strimbu/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3634 | | | | | |
| | Landing Control | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)